## VILLAGE OF ALTAMONT

REGULAR BOARD MEETING November 19, 2019

Mayor Kerry Dineen Trustee Nicholas Fahrenkopf Trustee Michelle Ganance Trustee John Scally Trustee Dean Whalen

Patty Blackwood, Clerk, Absent Catherine Hasbrouck, Treasurer Jeffrey Moller, Supt. of Public Works, Absent Paul Miller, Altamont Fire Chief, Absent Todd Pucci, Altamont Police Chief John Hartzell, Legal Counsel

General Public: 34

7:00 p.m.

Mayor Dineen called the meeting to order with the Pledge of Allegiance.

Mayor Dineen stated at the last Board meeting she asked the public and the Village's staff to take a look at the two LED lights that were on the Village's building. Village is involved in a LED lighting project with the Town of Guilderland, Village of Voorheesville, and the Town of New Scotland. Village of Altamont had insisted they wanted to see examples of the lighting. Village wanted to try to get as close to the lighting as they have now which is the warm lighting but also be able to save money and be more responsible with LED. The Mayor received approximately twelve responses from the public with their opinions between the two lights. The majority of the responses agreed with the Board which was the lowest of 2900 Kelvin. It appears to be the warmest and matches the Village's streetlights. Village is working with Nationalgrid and NYPA and going back and forth with agreements. Mayor Dineen stated Village is hoping for installation of the lights around the 1st or 2nd quarter of 2020.

Todd Pucci, Chief Altamont Police Dept., stated if anybody gets a phone call from anyone claiming to be the IRS or Social Security, those types of agencies don't call you. If they need to get ahold of you, you'll get something in the mail. Don't ever give your social security number to anybody over the phone unless you're the one initiating a call and you know who you're talking to. Chief Pucci reported parking regulations are in effect.

Paul Miller, Chief Altamont Fire Department, submitted Chief's reports for September and October. Copy of Chief's reports included with Official Minutes.

Mayor Dineen stated leaf collection will be ending in one to two weeks. When Dept. of Public Works has a cut-off date, they will put the date on the board out front and also send a Nixle notification.

Trustee Whalen made a motion seconded by Trustee Scally to approve the Treasurer's report; Abstract #10 and #11. Roll Call: All in favor

Trustee Scally reported recently there has been some chatter on the Altamont Community page regarding the railroad crossing. Trustee Scally stated he reached out to SNS Rail Lines and they did bring them up on the 29th of October. They did apologize for the length of time it took to work on the railroad. Trustee Scally stated he wanted to let everybody know that he did read the posts on the Altamont Community page, but he just

wanted to clarify to the Board and the public that they did fix it and it was a long process, but they apologize for the inconvenience to people.

### **Public Comment:**

Laura Shore, President of Altamont Community Tradition, stated the Victorian Holiday celebration will be held on December 14<sup>th</sup> & 15<sup>th</sup> and encouraged the public to attend all the events.

Jim Gaughan, Long Grass Lane, stated he was there to give a brief introduction of an informational session tonight regarding the census. The reason he's here is because he personally believes, as you probably read in the enterprise or in the recent newsletter, that it's very important to the village for many reasons. Finances probably at the top if you're worried about taxes. Ten years ago, we lost 17 people from the prior census and we estimated that approximately over \$200,000 in lost revenue from the County, which is based on population resulted. The mayor and the trustees have asked him to help with keeping it on the front burner and to keep it on people's radar. It's coming up in March. They're partners with us. Altamont Community Tradition is providing space at the Altamont Victorian holidays for an information session. Information given by representatives from the federal government on the census. Mr. Gaughan stated he would continue to put information in the news outlet. He has reached out to the post office, who has a new administrator over the past ten years, and they have promised that the residents with post office boxes will not have their census form returned. They have so much local knowledge that they have promised the census will be placed in the mailboxes. Mr. Gaughan encouraged the public to please pay attention to the upcoming presentation.

See Public Comment Session Stenographic Minutes by Nancy L. Strang pages 1-11.

Bob Scardamalia, Census Center, gave a brief presentation on the process and the importance of the census.

Village Board; and John Hartzell, Village Counsel, discussed SEQRA review on proposed Local Law No. 2 of 2019 of the Village of Altamont Repealing Local Law No. 1 of 2018 and Amending the Official Zoning Map. See Stenographic Minutes by Nancy L. Strang pages 1-9.

Trustee Fahrenkopf made a motion seconded by Trustee Ganance to approve to accept our draft declaring a negative declaration for the application that is in front of us.

## Roll Call:

Trustee Whalen Opposed
Trustee Ganance In favor
Trustee Fahrenkopf In favor
Trustee Scally In favor
Mayor Dineen In favor

Motion Carried: 4 In favor/1 Opposed

Village Board discussed Local Law No. 2 of 2019 of the Village of Altamont Repealing Local Law No. 1 of 2018 and Amending the Official Zoning Map. See Stenographic Minutes by Nancy L. Strang pages 9-19.

Trustee Ganance made a motion seconded by Trustee Fahrenkopf to approve adopting Local Law No. 2 of 2019 entitled "Repealing Local Law No. 1 of 2018 and Amending the Official Zoning Map," which would repeal Local Law No. 1 of 2018 and which would amend the Village Zoning Map so as to rezone 109 Helderberg as CBD.

## **Roll Call:**

Trustee Whalen Opposed
Trustee Ganance In favor
Trustee Fahrenkopf In favor
Trustee Scally In favor
Mayor Dineen In favor

Motion Carried: 4 In favor/1 Opposed

Copies of resolution and Local Law No. 2 of 2019 are included with Official Minutes.

Trustee Whalen made a motion seconded by Trustee Ganance to approve adopting a resolution approving an administration agreement between the Village and Municipal Electric and Gas Alliance (MEGA) for exploring the Village's potential participation in the Community Choice Aggregation program. **Roll Call: All in favor** 

Trustee Fahrenkopf made a motion seconded by Trustee Scally to approve of officers elected into office by the membership of the Altamont Fire Department on Monday, November 4, 2019: Kyle Haines, Chief; and Thomas Tubbs, 1<sup>st</sup> Assistant Chief.

Roll Call: All in favor

Trustee Fahrenkopf stated he is really thankful to Chief Miller for all the years of service he has given the Village. Chief Miller isn't going anywhere. Trustee Fahrenkopf stated we're very excited to see Kyle and Tommy step up into the Chief and Assistant Chief position and he knows we're still in good hands.

Trustee Whalen made a motion seconded by Trustee Ganance to approve of application from Christopher Purcell, Guilderland, as Firefighter membership in the Altamont Fire Department per request of Paul Miller, Chief. Roll Call: All in favor

Trustee Fahrenkopf made a motion seconded by Trustee Whalen to approve authorizing Mayor Dineen to sign RBC Wealth Management letter authorizing RBC Wealth Management to issue lump-sum payment of \$11,490.99 to Lawrence V. Grant, Altamont Fire Department member. Mr. Grant is withdrawing amount accumulated in the fund and there is no Village budget impact. **Roll Call: All in favor** 

Trustee Fahrenkopf acknowledged and thanked Lawrence Grant for his 37 years of service in the Altamont Fire Department.

Trustee Scally made a motion seconded by Trustee Whalen to approve the appointment of Charles Hughes as part-time Fire Inspector at a rate of \$25.00 per hour, not to exceed \$2,000. **Roll Call: All in favor** 

Trustee Scally made a motion seconded by Trustee Ganance to approve the addition of Pioneer Bank to the Investment Policy per Catherine Hasbrouck, Treasurer.

Roll Call: All in favor

Trustee Fahrenkopf made a motion seconded by Trustee Ganance to approve authorizing Catherine Hasbrouck, Village Treasurer, to sign the Third-Party Custody Agreement with M&T Bank. **Roll Call: All in favor** 

Trustee Scally made a motion seconded by Trustee Ganance to approve of Board Minutes for September 3, 2019. **Roll Call: All in favor** 

Trustee Whalen made a motion seconded Trustee Ganance to approve entering into executive session at 8:20 p.m. to discuss contract negotiations in addition to legal counsel on those topics. **All in favor** 

Trustee Whalen made a motion seconded by Trustee Scally to approve coming out of executive session on 8:59 p.m. All in favor

Trustee Ganance made a motion seconded by Trustee Fahrenkopf to adjourn at 9:02 p.m. **All in favor** 

Respectfully Submitted,

Patty Blackwood Clerk

1 COUNTY OF ALBANY VILLAGE BOARD 1 2 VILLAGE OF ALTAMONT 3 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* A PORTION OF THE PUBLIC COMMENT SESSION 4 AS IT RELATES TO THE PROPOSED STEWART'S PROJECT AT 107 - 109 HELDERBERG AVENUE \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 5 THE STENOGRAPHIC MINUTES of the above entitled matter 6 by NANCY L. STRANG, a Shorthand Reporter commencing on 7 November 19, 2019 at 7:02 p.m. at 115 Main Street, Altamont, New York 8 PRESENT: 9 BOARD MEMBERS: 10 KERRY DINEEN, MAYOR NICHOLAS FAHRENKOPF, TRUSTEE MICHELLE GANANCE, TRUSTEE 11 JOHN SCALLY, TRUSTEE DEAN WHALEN, TRUSTEE 12 13 14 ALSO PRESENT: 15 JOHN HARTZELL, ESQ. KIRBY WILSON CAROL ROTHENBERG 16 DAVE COWEN 17 MICHAEL MCNEANY PAUL SCILIPOTI 18 KRISTIN CASEY 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

MS. WILSON: Hi, I am Kirby Wilson, 272 Brandle Road. I am addressing this Stewart's proposal that's on the agenda.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'm hoping that the short form SEQRA Environmental Assessment will be a positive significant determination today, based on three questions that determine moderate to large impact on Altamont. Regardless, I'm requesting the Board to reject or postpone a vote on changing the residential zone at 107 - 109 Helderberg Avenue.

The documented plans and details of Stewart's proposal are incomplete and I understand the law requires consideration of all aspects and impacts in making its own decision like this. Documentation is needed regarding details about grading, rain and flood runoff, school bus stops, New York State Department of Transportation updated traffic and safety reviews, demolition of a two-family home, demolition of the gas tanks and the store and destruction of the tall trees buffering the residential neighborhood. These details might have been provided in a review if the full Environmental Assessment Form had been completed, as recommended by our planning consultant Nan Stolzenburg and the ultimate Planning Board which was rejected by the Board. I am asking you to please postpone or reject the zone change from residential at 107 - 109 Helderberg.

MAYOR DINEEN: Thank you.

MS. ROTHENBERG: Hi, I am a familiar face up here. Carol Rothenberg, 111 Helderberg Avenue.

Thank you for listening to us again.

Many of us who have chosen to live in the Village of Altamont and specifically in my Helderberg and Severson Avenue neighborhood have purchased homes and have resided there for at least two decades or more.

My family moved to Altamont in 1979. My son started elementary school here. I have continue to live in the neighborhood for the last 40 years.

If you are purchasing a home, as many of us have, you usually think of it as an investment. With Stewart's seeking expansion into our neighborhood, property values will inevitably decrease.

According to two realtors and one appraiser that I consulted with, one can expect a 25% drop in property resale value for those homes that are next to Stewart's and that comprises about two or three homes. Then, those homes that are a quarter a mile away from larger business could experience a 10% decrease in drop of value. So, in just looking at the numbers, I used the tax records from this current appraisals for this year for only 10 homes that are in the area, minus the vacant land on Severson and the business on Helderberg Avenue. So, the total appraised

value of those homes is currently \$2,511,000. So, those 10 homes are either, as I say, next to or several doors up from Stewart's on Severson Avenue or on Altamont Boulevard. So, 10% loss would be \$251,100. So, imagine the loss of 25% for those homes that are closer to Stewart's with the enlarged footprint. Obviously, my home is one of those affected by the 25% loss.

1.0

Equally important to me is the loss of the quality of life. Imagine all of you who are homeowners - the constant noise of the compressors, the dumpster, the delivery trucks, increase lights. So, even thinking beyond my own neighborhood and looking more at the whole Village of Altamont, what now would we be thinking about for the landmarks of Altamont and currently I feel the landmarks as being our library and the park area which is so great and used all year round. My thought is that maybe the landmark would becoming the well-lit very large Stewart's shop. Thank you for your considerations.

MAYOR DINEEN: Thank you.

MR. COWAN: Dave Cowan, 135 Maple. When we all walked in tonight, we walked past some ashtrays as we entered the building. There's not supposed to be smoking within, I think, 25 feet of a public building. I kind of get the feeling that maybe some smokers are getting a little extra attention by being able to smoke within the

building. It is against the law to have smoking within so many feet of a public building.

The park in Altamont - there are signs of that say no parking/no smoking. When you consider changing the zoning in the Village of Altamont, I want you to think about the impression that you give of allowing just a few to have benefits that really aren't benefits to several others. Thank you.

MAYOR DINEEN: Thank you.

MR. MCNEANY: Michael McNeany, 104 Severson

Avenue. I have two quick points to make on the proposal to rezone.

First is: If parking is a concern, we're going to be losing the parking in front of the house that is going to be demolished. There are three or four parking spaces there that the funeral home uses. Without the house, we lose that parking. With that big parking lot, we will be getting one extra parking space for Stewart's and they're not obligated to service a funeral home.

More than that, I'm concerned about the safety of that area. We have a large parking lot. There are five-year-olds that are expected to navigate this area on a daily basis throughout the week. That is the public pickup spot for Altamont school. Thank you.

MAYOR DINEEN: Thank you.

MR. SCILIPOTI: My name is Paul Scilipoti and I live at 64 Pleasant Valley Road in Berne. I have a brief comment about Stewart's as well.

I would really like to see the Board tell

Stewart's to come up with a workable design that exists on
the footprint that they now have. I think most of us
realize that a quaint small town appearance is one of our
most valuable assets here. It affects everybody. Stewart's,
in my opinion, has failed to demonstrate a legitimate need
to expand into what I think of is a beautiful residential
neighborhood. I would have really like to see us preserve
107 - 109 and I think our children would thank us for that.

I also have a few comments regarding the Crounse's house. I am a member of Historic Altamont and we have been working for the last year to try to figure out a way to save the building.

We have reached out to you, Mayor Dineen, a few times and it doesn't seem like we got very far. Peter Barber from Guilderland seems to have repeatedly expressed his willingness to work with us to preserve this historic building.

We've had an architect go through the building and I believe the contractor who we have interested has been through it and as rundown as it looks, it's not nearly ready to be torn down. It's a good solid structure and it's

quite repairable. It has a truly wonderful historic significance about being a part of the original area that was here before Altamont ever came to be. As I said, we have a contractor who is interested. He is well accustomed to working on historic buildings and very willing to helping save the house. I think the residents have a right to know what the Board's intentions are at this time which is almost upon us. This is something that we could have figured out long ago. We also need - people need to know the financial implications for whatever direction you go. If it gets knocked down, if it gets subdivided - the village folks need to know that. I would like to also know what would be the justification for knocking down the building. There is 2.8 acres there. Would there be new construction, or new houses there or green space. My strong belief is that we owe it to that old house to save it and it's well within our means to do so. Thank you for your time.

MAYOR DINEEN: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. CASEY: My name is Kristen Casey and I live that 215 Main Street and Altamont. I first want to add my opposition to the rezoning and expansion proposed for Stewart's. As I said in my letter to you, an important responsibility of the Board is to work towards solutions to issues that can bring the community together. As

difficult as that may be. In the case of the Stewart's expansion, as many as 200 or more people have expressed their concern over demolishing a century-old home and expanding a business into the neighborhood. Another 200 or more people feel the need for a larger Stewart's. I feel a solution should be found in working with the existing site and thereby healing the divisiveness that this issue has caused.

1.0

My remaining comments have to do with the Crounse's house. I see that there will be an executive session tonight to discuss contract negotiations and since it may be about the Crounse's house, I wanted to make a few comments.

I am here to support John Brennan and his willingness to enter into a contract to restore the Crounse's house to a single family residence. In keeping with the approved restrictive covenant to maintain the integrity of the original home, John approached historic Altamont about his interest in the property and we have been working with him in an advisory capacity as he developed a purchase offer for the property that includes restoration of the house.

John is a general contractor with extensive experience restoring houses. He has restored several buildings severely damaged by weather including one in

Berne and a 1790 house in Greene County. Because John expressed interest in the Crounse's house and its restoration, we have been trying to help him navigate the

approval process to the Town and Village.

In our continuing effort to support John, we have attempted to arrange meetings with officials from both municipalities over the past few months to discuss John's proposal and the best path forward considering the approach of winter. Although the public may not be aware, Historic Altamont and John have been working behind the scenes to find a solution to restore and renovate the house, but because the Village was unable to respond to our requests for meetings pending information from the comptroller and attorney, as I understand, the contract with John has yet to be discussed or negotiated.

Since the Mayor and Supervisor Barber are in now in direct contact with John, I just wanted to let you know that Historic Altamont has decided it will no longer pursue an advisory role and leave the discussions to John and the municipality. However, we stand by John and are excited about his offer.

My final remark regards the cost to the taxpayers of either green space of the total area or development of the property - if that might be an option. If that is your intent, as opposed to entering into a contract with John,

one of the plans that is proposed — the expense of each option should be carefully considered and presented to the public for consideration. John has offered to rehabilitate the house in a short period of time in return it to the tax rolls.

I want to close by thanking both the Mayor and the Village Board for your patience and understanding as we work resolutely toward finding a solution to save the Crown's house. We also thank Supervisor Barber and the Town Board for their continued support and understanding of the importance of preserving a vital link to the past for the future of Altamont. Thank you.

MAYOR DINEEN: Thank you.

Okay, I don't see any more speakers so we are going to move on to our presentation on our agenda.

(Whereas the above entitled proceeding was concluded at 7:12 p.m.)

## CERTIFICATION

I, NANCY L. STRANG, Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of New York, hereby CERTIFY that the record taken by me at the time and place noted in the heading hereof is a true and accurate transcript of same, to the best of my ability and belief.

Dated:\_\_\_\_

NANCY L. STRANG

LEGAL TRANSCRIPTION

2420 TROY SCHENECTADY RD.

NISKAYUNA, NY 12309

Legal Transcription Ph 518-542-7699

1	VILLAGE BOARD COUNTY OF ALBANY			
2	VILLAGE OF ALTAMONT			
3 4	**************************************			
5				
6 7	by NANCY L. STRANG, a Shorthand Reporter commencing			
8	PRESENT:			
9	BOARD MEMBERS:			
10	KERRY DINEEN, MAYOR NICHOLAS FAHRENKOPF, TRUSTEE			
11	MICHELLE GANANCE, TRUSTEE			
12	JOHN SCALLY, TRUSTEE DEAN WHALEN, TRUSTEE			
13				
14	ALSO PRESENT:			
15	JOHN HARTZELL, ESQ.			
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

MAYOR DINEEN: Moving on to our agenda, we are going to item number one. Let's just read item number one. It says: Consider this SEQRA view of proposal of Local Law 2 of 2019 of the Village of Altamont repealing Local Law 1 of 2018 and amending the official zoning map.

1.5

This was the SEQRA portion of that - at the last two meetings. At the last two meetings, we had SEQRA discussions.

At the first one, it was a more in-depth discussion and we summarized and went through some of the things that we had discussed at the first one. I will give you a quick summary of that because a lot of you were here so, I don't want to keep you listening to it and be redundant all over again.

Part 1 is submitted by the applicant and then Part  $\,$  II - we went to the questions that were in front of us.

There were 11 questions. On the first three — there were three categories. One is: Will the proposed action create a material conflict with the adopted land-use plan or zoning regulations? Will the proposed action result in a change in the use or intensity of the use of the land? Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of the existing community?

We identified - some of the Board Members felt

that those were medium. There were zero to small and medium to large. We thought for those three areas we would check off medium to large. The categories on the form were zero to small impacts.

With that, we have to take all the information that we were given and do an assessment, given the things people have mentioned from the traffic reports to the studies that came in to the public hearing that we had in September. We have to pull that all together and make a decision.

When we left the last meeting, we had talked about - our part would be drafting a narrative to discuss these items and then depending on negative or positive, that would support our determination. So, we tasked our counsel, Mr. Hartzel, with taking our ideas and starting a draft. We have all been implementing some information into it that we felt was necessary and this is still a draft, but I'm going to read to you guys - just not the whole draft - the conclusions.

There's not a page number, but I'm kind of towards the significance of determination area. If you see that, it's before the 10 points, right at the end of the narrative.

This Board, after all of our taking in the information that we have - I'm just going to read this as

it is written.

The Village Board has on the basis of its completion of the Environmental Assessment for the project, determined that the project will have a moderate environmental impact with respect to 1. Conflicts with the existing zoning regulations. 2. Creating a change in the use or intensity of the land. 3. Impairment of community character or quality.

Those are the three questions that I just outlined a minute ago.

The Board determined, however, that these impacts are not significant for the purposes of SEQRA. The reasons for this determination, in addition to those stated above, are as follows. This is the summary of the narrative that precedes it.

The subject property being altered is in its condition and use is relatively small.17 acres. The rezoning is consistent with the Comp Plan and extends an existing contiguous zoning district by inclusion of one adjoining parcel resulting in a continued contiguous district on a commercial corridor in the Village. The subject property is being rezoned to a zoning classification which you previously had. The residential building on the subject parcel is nonconforming under the Village Code. The residential building on the subject

parcel has a history of commercial use. The project will result in a building or replacement store which is more harmonious with the architecture of the Village and the design guidance and Village planning documents than the existing store. The project advances the stated policy to the Village to promote business growth to the CBD, central business district, to meet the needs of the Village residents.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The aesthetic impacts is the loss of trees are constrained to a small area of the Village and will be mitigated by new plantings, and improvement on aesthetics at the convenience store and the exposure to view of other mature trees and adjoining property. 9. The number of properties which are more accurately impacted by the project is limited to a few which are in close proximity. The project is an expansion of a well-known business onto an adjoining parcel which improves the functioning of the property are providing more space and amenities for customer use and enjoyment and improve parking configuration and traffic flow and substantial landscaping elements which are missing from the current store. We came to the conclusion - let me just start with that because we are going to be reading it with the motion afterwords. Does that make sense?

MR. HARTZEL: So, really this is the

significance determination part three. You all have had 1 a draft in circulation for several weeks and now the 2 question is: Is this going to represent your 3 significance of determination on the action that is 4 5 before you? That's correct. So, the reasons 6 MAYOR DINEEN: 7 of determination by this Board is a negative determination. 8 9 MR. HARTZEL: That's right. What you want to do is make sure that hopefully by now because everyone has 10 had it -11 12 MAYOR DINEEN: Yes, everyone has had it. 13 MR. HARTZEL: So, this is the narrative that's going to be - at least the majority of you agree is the 14 15 part three narrative supporting the significance determination. 16 MR. FAHRENKOPF: Is it appropriate to discuss 17 now, or should we make a motion? 18 MR. HARTZEL: Oh, yes. 19 20 MAYOR DINEEN: Yes, this is the information that we all put together. So, if there's something that 21 22 you want to say about it now - and then we will vote on 23 it - that determination.

Does anybody have any comments to make - any additional that you did not include in this? I think we got

24

25

all the inclusions of Trustee Scally on Thursday.

MR. WHALEN: Yes, I wanted to make sure it was a moderate impact statement on Section 1, 2 and 3.

MAYOR DINEEN: You want to be consistent with 2 and 3. So, that's in there.

Dean, we have the ones that you listed as well.

So, just want to make sure that this is the document that you are now comfortable with.

MR. WHALEN: I think we probably have seen this enough. I don't think we've changed anything substantial. I don't necessarily agree with all the items. I think as a document, I think we're all on the same page and going forward as far as proceeding toward some decision is a declaration.

MR. FAHRENKOPF: I think we all did an excellent job of incorporating everything we have been thinking about over the past year and a half, especially in the last couple of weeks and months.

Thank you - especially the Mayor and John - and kind of corralling that altogether. It's not easy sometimes when people have written things, but I think everybody's thoughts are in there.

MAYOR DINEEN: At this point - John, we would just ask for a motion to accept this draft and a negative declaration before we act on the application;

correct?

MR. WHALEN: The Board obviously knows that I struggled with this. John certainly got an earful about a month ago as to how I see this.

I just want to clarify that in the SEQRA world, we are kind of stuck here because this doesn't really - - again, this is only my opinion. This doesn't really fit comfortably into the intent of SEQRA because municipal determinations under SEQRA are not the base of the intent. It's just a little difficult to fit this in. I have obviously struggled with this. However, in the SEQRA world, it is the intent of looking at the impacts at a fairly large scale - - I think that's where we are at this point. We have to consider the SEQRA under the short form process. I just wanted to clarify that.

MR. SCALLY: I agree with Dean on that aspect, too. Like you said, large impact would be a much greater area of property and this is just an adjoining piece of property. That's why I made my decision. I feel that it is a moderate impact.

MAYOR DINEEN: So, the motion is to accept our draft declaring a negative declaration for the application that is in front of us. Can I have a motion for that?

MR. FAHRENKOPF: I'll make the motion.

MAYOR DINEEN: Okay, Nick is first. Second?
MS. GANANCE: I will second.
MAYOR DINEEN: And Michelle's second. Roll call
please.
THE CLERK: Trustee Whalen?
MR. WHALEN: Opposed.
THE CLERK: Trustee Ganance?
MS. GANANCE: In favor.
THE CLERK: Trustee Fahrenkopf?
MR. FAHRENKOPF: In favor.
THE CLERK: Trustee Scally?
MR. SCALLY: In favor.
THE CLERK: Mayor Dineen?
MAYOR DINEEN: In favor.
So, we're moving onto item number two on our
agenda. It is consider approving Local Law 2 of 2019 of the
Village of Altamont repealing Local Law 1 of 2018 and
amending the official zoning map.
I'm going to read the actual law at the top.
Adopting Local Law 2 of 2019, relating to the rezoning of
107 - 109 Helderberg Avenue in making a negative SEQRA
determination in connection therewith.
Whereas Stewart's Shops Corporation, Stewart's, is
the owner of a certain parcel of real property and
improvements thereon in the Village of Altamont commonly

known as 107 - 109 Helderberg Avenue designated on the last completed assessment roles of Town of Guilderland as tax parcel 48.06-2-2, 109 Helderberg, immediately adjacent to a convenience store and gas dispensing station owned by Stewart's entity at 1001 Altamont Boulevard, the existing store;

2.4

And whereas on December 12, 2018, pursuant to an application for Stewart's dated September 24, 2018, this Board by Local Law 1 of 2018 rezoned 109 Helderberg from R10 to CBD, Local Law 1 of 2018;

And whereas following approval of Local Law 1 of 2018, several residents of the Village individually and together with an association of residents commenced litigation in Albany County Supreme Court seeking to annul Local Law 1 of 2018 on the basis of certain alleged noncompliance with the requirements of the New York State Environmental Quality Review Act and spot zoning;

And whereas in view of the lawsuit and the further site design completed by Stewart's, it is the intention of this Village Board to reconsider the rezoning of 109

Helderberg on the basis of the revised rezoning application from Stewart's which is filed with the Village by Stewart's on June 24, 2019, the revised application;

And whereas the revised application involves the rezoning of 109 Helderberg in connection with the planned

demolition of a residence and clearing vegetation on that parcel, the demolition of the existing convenience store and gas dispensing station on the adjacent parcel and the construction of a new convenience store of approximately 3,340 square feet and a new gas dispensing station on the combined area of 109 Helderberg and the adjacent parcel;

And whereas a proposed Local Law 2 of 2019 entitled repealing Local Law 1 of 2018 and amending the official zoning map which would repeal Local Law 1 of 2018 and which would amend the Village zoning map so as to zone 109 Helderberg as CBD was placed on the desk of the Mayor and each of the Trustees on July 2, 2019 in accordance with Municipal Law Section 20.

MR. FAHRENKOPF: Whereas by Resolution adopted on July 2, 2019 this Village Board A. Determined that the preliminary classification of the action to consider the revised application should be designated as unlisted. B. Determine the short Environmental Assessment Form was necessary to determine the significance of the action. C. Determine that the proposed action was not located in an established agricultural district and therefore was not subject to Determine that coordinated SEQRA review of the action would be undertaken in accordance with 6NYCRR Part

22

23

24

25

The Land State

617.6. E. Determined that no federal agency may have jurisdiction and be involved with respect to the proposed action. F. Determined that other involved agencies with respect to this action may include the New York State Department of Transportation, the Village of Altamont Planning Board, the Village of Altamont Zoning Board of Appeals, the Village of Altamont Code Enforcement Officer and the Albany County Planning Board. G. Directed the Village Clerk to initiate a coordinated review of the action under SEQRA by filing a copy of the rezoning application, SEQRA materials an appropriate notice with involved agencies, notifying said agencies that a lead agency must be agreed upon within 30 calendar days of the date of mailing said notice. H. Declaring its desire to assume lead agency status for the purpose of SEQRA review. I. Directed the Village Clerk to make referral of the application to the Albany County Planning Board pursuant to General Municipal Law Section 239 (m) and to the Village Planning Board pursuant to Altamont Village Code Section 355-53e and J. Set a public hearing on proposed Local Law 2 of 2019;

And whereas the Village Clerk duly published notice of the aforesaid public hearing as required by law;

And whereas the Village Board of the Village of

Altamont held a public hearing with respect to Local Law 2 of 2019 regarding the rezoning of 109 Helderberg Avenue on the third day of September 2019 at 7:00 p.m. at the Village Hall, Village of Altamont, 115 Main Street, Altamont, New York and at which hearing all persons desire to be heard thereof for heard.

23:

the second

And whereas proposed amendments were provided to the Village of Altamont Planning Board and the Albany County Planning Board and to all other entities entitled to notice by law or regulation which have provided the respective responses to this Village Board;

And whereas a qualified number of property owners have filed a petition pursuant to Village Law Section 7 - 708 in regard to proposed Local Law 2 of 2019.

Now therefore be it resolved that the Village
Board finds that the project is consistent with the Village
Comprehensive Plan; and it is further resolved that the
Village Board finds that the project will not produce a
negative environmental impact in issues a negative
declaration as set forth in the annexed environmental
assessment form; and it is further resolved that the
proposed Local Law 2 of 2019 entitled Repealing Local Law 1
of 2018 in amending the official zoning map which would
repeal Local Law 1 of 2018 and which would amend the
Village zoning map 109 Helderberg to CBD and annexed hereto

as adopted and the Village Clerk is directed to file same 1 2 to the New York State Secretary of State. 3 MAYOR DINEEN: So, after all that, can I get a motion? 4 MS. GANANCE: I'll motion. 5 MAYOR DINEEN: Michelle. 6 7 MR. FAHRENKOPF: After I catch my breath, I will second. 8 9 MAYOR DINEEN: Nick is second. On that motion, I guess we could to roll call 10 unless you want to speak about it now, or do discussion 11 12 now? 13 MR. WHALEN: Two points. One is a statement and 14 if I ramble, I apologize. We were asked to readdress this from what we made 15 as a decision almost a year ago. What I find interesting is 16 17 having to readdress this to look more closely at the SEQRA process, to me, actually clarified even more so than a year 18 19 ago the impacts of the project and that we were not strictly asked to look at the zoning. We were asked to look 20 at the project in relation to that zoning. In going to that 21 process, it was interesting to me, that at least in my 22 mind, the impacts were even clearer after going through the

Having said that, I also want to add that we have

SEQRA process than they were a year ago.

23

24

2.5

heard you - both sides of this, as was mentioned. There were a lot of good thoughts. I want to reiterate all of what has been said. You folks know where I'm coming from. I think that a lot of what has been said of the technical issues on that site have been very difficult if not impossible to resolve to everybody satisfaction. My question is: I don't really know where we landed on whether we were required to have a super majority. I asked that question.

MAYOR DINEEN: Yes.

MR. WHALEN: Okay, thank you.

MR. FAHRENKOPF: I agree with him. We have heard from many people. We have letters and emails in public comment. We have thought it through and looked through all the documents. In many ways, I agree that it's a little frustrating how this process has to play out. I have just come to a different conclusion based upon the same set of facts.

MR. SCALLY: I have been thinking about this arduously for quite some time now. As you can see, there's definitely a lot of paperwork regarding the Stewart's issue. I understand what it's like with the lights. I understand what it's like to have traffic coming through. I'm looking at this not as a microcosm group or small entity around it. I'm looking at it as

the big whole picture.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

23

My decision based on tonight is for the whole picture of the Village and what I feel is right, in my decision, is that I vote for it.

MS. GANANCE: I would just like to say that I agree with Dean and that going through this whole SEQRA process has made me look more in more in depth at the situation at hand. I have taken it upon myself to sit across from Stewart's at different times of the day in different hours of the day over different weeks and observe what's going on and look at all aspects of this and review in detail everything that has come into play as far as everyone's opinions. Just as Nick had said, I have listened to everything. I value everyone's opinion and moving forward, I think everything can be seen in different ways as things are presented. I think that I've done my best to make the best decision I can based on the information that we have been given in the observations I have made.

MAYOR DINEEN: And I will concur with what a lot of people have said on all sides. It has been a humbling educational process throughout the whole Board. I did support the project the first time and I think this time as far as looking in-depth, I think we had looked in-depth the first time. I also believe that we

are revisiting everything this time and the second public hearing was very telling to me because although we feel that the Village is split on this topic - I heard that comment often - it was impressive to see at that hearing people coming out from all different parts of our Village to support the project. People did not waste their opinions before. They did not feel, for whatever reason, comfortable doing that. I understand some of the impacts, but we don't know what could happen. I think the positives outweigh the negatives and so that's where I'm at with this. We have had lots of discussions about this.

MR. SCALLY: It's been very educational.

Listening to the public and listening to both sides and making a decision based on it all.

MAYOR DINEEN: And we are all vested. I think you all know that. We are very much vested in this community. None of us have been here for a short amount of time. We've all been here for good length of time. Some of us way longer than others, unfortunately. This is our community as much is it is your community and we want to do the best that we can for it. We're going to hope that we do that with whatever decision. Of course, this is just the beginning of a process that involves the community going forward. So, this is not the end.

	10			
1	There is in a much more to discuss. This going to be			
2	much more involvement.			
3	3 MR. SCALLY: I heard a lot of people saying			
4	4 about tearing down the house. Is there possibility of			
5	moving it? I know we've done it in the past. It's			
6	something to think about. I am a preservationist, but I			
7	also understand that we do need to move forward.			
8	MAYOR DINEEN: I will ask for roll call.			
9	THE CLERK: Trustee Whalen?			
10	MR. WHALEN: Opposed.			
11	THE CLERK: Trustee Ganance?			
12	MS. GANANCE: In favor.			
13	THE CLERK: Trustee Fahrenkopf?			
14	MR. FAHRENKOPF: In favor.			
15	THE CLERK: Trustee Scally?			
16	MR. SCALLY: In favor.			
17	THE CLERK: Mayor Dineen?			
18	MAYOR DINEEN: In favor.			
19	(Where is the above entitled proceeding was			
concluded at 8:00 p.m.)				
21				
22				
880mes <b>23</b> .	POLICE - BOSE			
24				
25				

### CERTIFICATION

I, NANCY L. STRANG, Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of New York, hereby CERTIFY that the record taken by me at the time and place noted in the heading hereof is a true and accurate transcript of same, to the best of my ability and belief.

Dated:	

NANCY L. STRANG

LEGAL TRANSCRIPTION

2420 TROY SCHENECTADY RD.

NISKAYUNA, NY 12309

Legal Transcription Ph 518-542-7699

# ADOPTING LOCAL LAW NO. 2 OF 2019 RELATING TO THE REZONING OF 107-109 HELDERBERG AVENUE AND MAKING A NEGATIVE SEQRA DETERMINATION IN CONNECTION THEREWITH

Motion by: Trustee Ganance Seconded: Trustee Fabrentoff

WHEREAS, Stewart's Shops Corp. ("Stewart's") is the owner of a certain parcel of real property and improvements thereon in the Village of Altamont commonly known as 107-109 Helderberg Avenue, designated on the last completed assessment rolls of the Town of Guilderland as Tax Parcel 48.06-2-2 ("109 Helderberg"), immediately adjacent to a convenience store and gas dispensing station owned by a Stewart's related entity at 1001 Altamont Boulevard (the "Existing Store"); and

**WHEREAS**, on December 12, 2018, pursuant to an application from Stewart's dated September 24, 2018, this Board, by Local Law No. 1 of 2018, rezoned 109 Helderberg from R-10 to CBD ("Local Law No. 1 of 2018"); and

WHEREAS, following approval of Local Law No. 1 of 2018 several residents of the Village individually and together with an association of residents commenced litigation in Albany County Supreme Court seeking to annual Local Law No. 1 of 2018, on the basis of certain alleged noncompliance with requirements of the New York State Environmental Quality Review Act ("SEQRA") and spot zoning (the "Lawsuit"); and

**WHEREAS**, in view of the Lawsuit, and the further site design completed by Stewart's, it is the intention of this Village Board to reconsider the rezoning of 109 Helderberg on the basis of a revised rezoning application from Stewart's, which was filed with the Village by Stewart's on June 24, 2019 (the "Revised Application"); and

WHEREAS, the Revised Application involves the rezoning of 109 Helderberg in connection with the planned demolition of a residence and clearing vegetation on that parcel, the demolition of the existing convenience store and gas dispensing station on the adjacent parcel, and the construction of a new convenience store of approximately 3,340 square feet and a new gas dispensing station on the combined area of 109 Helderberg and the adjacent parcel; and

WHEREAS, a proposed Local Law No. 2 of 2019 entitled "Repealing Local Law No. 1 of 2018 and Amending the Official Zoning Map," which would repeal Local Law No. 1 of 2018 and which would amend the Village Zoning Map so as to zone 109 Helderberg as CBD, was placed on the desks of the Mayor and each of the Village Trustees on July 2, 2019 in accordance with Municipal Home Rule Law Section 20; and

WHEREAS, by Resolution adopted on July 2, 2019, this Village Board:

- (a) Determined that the preliminary classification of the action to consider the Revised Application should be designated as "Unlisted";
- (b) Determined that a Short Environmental Assessment Form was necessary to determine the significance of the action;
- (c) Determined that the proposed action was not located in an established Agricultural District and therefore was not subject to the provisions of the Agriculture and Markets Law;
- (d) Determined that coordinated SEQRA review of the action would be undertaken in accordance with 6 NYCRR Part 617.6;
- (e) Determines that no federal agency may have jurisdiction and be involved with respect to the proposed action;
- (f) Determined that other involved agencies with respect to this action may include: (1) the New York state Department of Transportation, (2) the Village of Altamont Planning Board, (3) the Village of Altamont Zoning Board of Appeals, (4) the Village of Altamont Code Enforcement Officer, and (5) the Albany County Planning Board;
- (g) Directed the Village Clerk to initiate coordinated review of the action under SEQRA by filing a copy of the rezoning application, SEQRA materials and appropriate notice with involved agencies, notifying said agencies that a Lead Agency must be agreed upon within thirty (30) calendar days of the date of mailing said notice;
- (h) Declared its desire to assume Lead Agency status for the purpose of SEQRA review;
- (i) Directed the Village Clerk to make referral of the application to the Albany County Planning Board pursuant to General Municipal Law Section 239-m, and to the Village Planning Board pursuant to Altamont Village Code Section 355-53(E); and
- (j) Set a public hearing on proposed Local Law No. 2 of 2019; and

WHEREAS, Village Clerk duly published notice of the aforesaid public hearing as required by law; and

WHEREAS that the Village Board of the Village of Altamont held a public hearing with respect to Local Law No. 2 of 2019 regarding the rezoning of 109 Helderberg Avenue, on the 3rd day of September, 2019, at 7:00 o'clock P.M. at the Village Hall of the Village of Altamont, 115 Main Street, Altamont, New York, and at which hearing all persons desiring to be heard thereon were heard; and

WHEREAS the proposed amendments were provided to the Village of Altamont Planning Board, the Albany County Planning Board, and to all other entities entitled to notice by law or regulation, which have provided their respective responses to this Village Board; and

WHEREAS, a qualified number of property owners has filed a petition pursuant to Village Law §7-708 in regards to proposed Local Law No. 2 of 2019;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Village Board finds that the project

is consistent with the Village's Comprehensive Plan; and it is further

**RESOLVED,** that the Village Board finds that the project will not produce a negative environmental impact, and issues a negative declaration as set forth in the annexed Environmental Assessment Form; and it is further

**RESOLVED**, that the proposed Local Law No. 2 of 2019 entitled "Repealing Local Law No. 1 of 2018 and Amending the Official Zoning Map," which would repeal Local Law No. 1 of 2018 and which would amend the Village Zoning Map so as to zone 109 Helderberg as CBD, in the form annexed hereto, is adopted, and the Village Clerk is directed to file the same with the NYS Secretary of State forthwith.

# LOCAL LAW NO. 2 OF 2019 OF THE VILLAGE OF ALTAMONT REPEALING LOCAL LAW NO. 1 OF 2018 AND AMENDING THE OFFICIAL ZONING MAP

BE IT ENACTED by the Village Board of the Village of Altamont as follows:

# Section 1. - Authority

This local law is adopted pursuant to section 10 of the Municipal Home Rule Law and pursuant to section 355-53 of the Altamont Code.

# Section 2. Purpose

This Local Law repeals Local Law No. 1 of 2018 and amends the Official Zoning Map referenced in §355.9 of the Altamont Code to change a parcel of land zoned as R-10 to be zoned as CBD.

## Section 3. Repeal of Local Law No. 1 of 2018.

Local Law No. 1 of 2018 entitled "Amending the Official Zoning Map" is hereby repealed.

## Section 4. Zoning Map Change

The Official Zoning Map of the Village of Altamont is amended so that a parcel of land owned by Stewart's Shops Corp. with an address of 107-109 Helderberg Avenue and tax map number of SBL 48.06-2-2 is removed from the R-10 zoning district and is included in the Central Business District ("CBD"). The amended Official Zoning Map showing the rezoned parcel is Exhibit "A" to this Local Law.

## Section 5. Effective Date

This local law shall take effect immediately upon its filing in the Office of the New York State Secretary of State in accordance